

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

TRANSCRIPT OF A MEETING
OF THE
STATE OF NEVADA
PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR'S LICENSING BOARD

Thursday, June 11, 2015
9:00 a.m.

Northern Nevada Location:
Office of the Attorney General
100 North Carson Street
Mock Court Room
Carson City, Nevada 89701

Southern Nevada Location (Videoconferenced):
Grant Sawyer State Office Building
555 East Washington Avenue
Attorney General Conference Room, Suite 4500
Las Vegas, Nevada 89703

REPORTED BY: SHANNON L. TAYLOR, CCR, CSR, RMR
Certified Court, Shorthand and Registered Merit Reporter
Nevada CCR #322, California CSR #8753, Idaho CSR #485
1381 Valley View Drive, Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 887-0472

A P P E A R A N C E S

Board Members Present:

David Spencer, Chairman (Las Vegas)
Jim Nadeau (Carson City)
Mark Zane (Las Vegas)
Raymond J. Flynn (Las Vegas)
James Colbert (Carson City)

(No Board members were absent.)

Also: Kevin Ingram (Las Vegas)
Executive Director

Raelene Palmer (Las Vegas)
Deputy Attorney General

Sophia Long (Las Vegas)
Deputy Attorney General

Bruce Yarborough (Las Vegas)
Investigator

Jason Harris (Las Vegas)
Investigator

Lori Irizarry (Las Vegas)
Investigator

Matthew Schmelzer (Carson City)
Investigator

Mary Klemme (Carson City)
Investigative Assistant

Other Participants:

Charles V. Kenerson (Las Vegas)
Marilyn Fine, Esq. (Las Vegas)

Peter R. Maheu (Las Vegas)
Global Intelligence Network

Darryl Davis (Las Vegas)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

ITEM	PAGE
1. Roll Call of Board members	4
2. Public Comment	4
3. All applicants and witnesses to be sworn in	6
4. Agenda items carried over from June 10, 2015 meeting, if any	
Agenda item 9, Charles V. Kenerson, change in licensing status	6
5. Peter Maheu, Qualifying Agent for Global Intelligence Network, license number 883, is requesting an exemption to hire Luke Gardner	11
6. Robert Kenerson, appeal hearing	14, 28, 45
7. Darryl Davis, appeal hearing	15
8. Board Comment and future agenda items	45
9. Public comment	46
10. Adjournment	46

1 CARSON CITY, NEVADA, THURSDAY, JUNE 11, 2015, 9:02 A.M.

2 -oOo-

3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We will come to order.

4 This is the second day of the fourth quarterly
5 meeting of the State of Nevada Private Investigator's
6 Licensing Board.

7 We're trailing an item from yesterday, item
8 number nine, Charles V. Kenerson, license number 1036,
9 requesting a change in licensing status.

10 Okay. We need to have a roll call.

11 MR. INGRAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
12 Chairman Spencer?

13 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Here.

14 MR. INGRAM: Board Member Colbert?

15 BOARD MEMBER COLBERT: Here.

16 MR. INGRAM: Board Member Flynn?

17 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: Here.

18 MR. INGRAM: Board Member Nadeau?

19 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Here.

20 MR. INGRAM: And Board Member Zane?

21 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Here.

22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: The gang's all here.

23 Now is the time for public comment, if anyone
24 has public comment before we begin looking at our case
25 here. Any public comment, either here or in Carson

1 City?

2 BOARD MEMBER COLBERT: No one's here, sir.

3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chair, may I provide
4 some public comment, please?

5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Certainly.

6 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: All right. Because this
7 is not an agendized item, that's why I'm utilizing
8 public comment.

9 But I apologize for not being here yesterday
10 and for the festivities that went on. And I just wanted
11 to tell you thank you for all your hard work that you've
12 put into this, this Board. And, honest to goodness,
13 you've been a stalwart member of this Board. And I
14 appreciate your leadership as chair, your sensitivity
15 and that to the people that appear before us.

16 So I just wanted to thank you and apologize for
17 not being here yesterday.

18 And, Mark, congratulations.

19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you. I
20 appreciate it.

21 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And, Mark,
22 congratulations.

23 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I appreciate that.

24 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Let's

1 swear everybody in. Anyone who's going to --

2 MR. KENERSON: Mr. Chairman, I just want to
3 clarify. We have public comments after each agenda
4 item; is that correct?

5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah, we've got public
6 comment all over the place.

7 MR. KENERSON: I'm going to have some regarding
8 item number six.

9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes. You will be able
10 to do that.

11 MR. KENERSON: Thank you.

12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: M-hm (affirmative).

13 Okay. Anyone who will give testimony today,
14 please rise and be sworn in.

15 MS. PALMER: Do you affirm that the testimony
16 you shall give before this Board today shall be the
17 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

18 (Potential witnesses were sworn/affirmed.)

19 MS. PALMER: Thank you.

20 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Now we can go
21 to number nine, right?

22 MR. INGRAM: M-hm (affirmative).

23 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah, let's do that.

24 MS. PALMER: Mr. Chairman, with item number
25 nine, I believe that I do have a conflict and as your

1 legal counsel. So I'm going to have -- Deputy Attorney
2 General Sophia Long is going to sit in on item number
3 nine.

4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Great.
5 Welcome.

6 MS. LONG: Thank you.

7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Item
8 number nine from yesterday's agenda clearly stated that
9 Mr. Kenerson wants to change his licensing status so
10 that he can become the qualifying agent for A Closer
11 Look Inc., license number 1569.

12 I would suggest that we now would throw it to
13 the Board members for any questions that they might have
14 regarding -- regarding this request.

15 Yeah, Chuck, do you want to just come on up and
16 grab a chair?

17 MS. FINE: Good morning to members of the Board
18 and staff. I'm Marilyn Fine, and I'm legal counsel for
19 A Closer Look.

20 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Welcome.

21 All right. Board members, any questions from
22 the Board members?

23 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I have no questions.

24 BOARD MEMBER COLBERT: No.

25 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I have a question. I'm

1 sorry. When did you become affiliated with A Closer
2 Look?

3 MR. KENERSON: Probably February of this year.

4 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: February?

5 MR. KENERSON: March. And that was, that was
6 as a work card employee of the company.

7 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay. Was that, was the
8 purpose of that so you could become acquainted with
9 their operations?

10 MR. KENERSON: Yes.

11 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay. Do you feel that
12 you've come up to speed with what they were doing as a
13 business and what services they offer to the public?

14 MR. KENERSON: I believe so.

15 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Now, as I understand it,
16 except for the filing of particular complaints against
17 the corporate entity, A Closer Look, that's the only
18 issue that we're -- that's caused us some concern.

19 MS. LONG: And just real quick, as your Board
20 counsel, just to be clear, the Board isn't really,
21 shouldn't really be privy to those complaints, so,
22 regardless. So those complaints really shouldn't be an
23 issue to determine on the outcome of this agenda item.

24 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay.

25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Great. The only issue

1 here is whether or not he can change his license?

2 MS. LONG: Correct.

3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.

4 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: Mr. Chairman, I've got a
5 question.

6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes.

7 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: Was there any concerns at
8 all with the background?

9 MR. YARBOROUGH: No, sir. Investigator
10 Yarborough. There are no concerns at all.

11 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: Okay. Thank you.

12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Any questions from up
13 north?

14 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Just one question, and
15 I'm prepared to make a motion. But the question, if
16 there were any violations, would it be prior to his --
17 after his being the qualified agent, the company would
18 still be subject to any complaints or any violations or
19 anything of that nature, but not him.

20 But if you're prepared for a motion, I'd be
21 happy to make a motion.

22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Are there
23 any further questions?

24 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: No, sir.

25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. That's it.

1 Yeah. Any public comment, either here or in Carson
2 City?

3 Hearing none, then we will take a motion.

4 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman, I'd move
5 that Charles V. Kenerson, license number 1036, be
6 granted a change of licensing status, Mr. Kenerson be
7 listed as -- put his individual license in abeyance, and
8 that he become -- so that he can become qualified agent
9 for A Closer Look, Incorporated, license number 1569,
10 subject to all statutory and regulatory requirements.

11 BOARD MEMBER COLBERT: Second.

12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I have a motion and a
13 second. All in favor, signify by saying "aye."

14 (Board members said "aye.")

15 Opposed?

16 Hearing none, congratulations.

17 MR. KENERSON: Thank you, sir.

18 And this might not be the proper time to
19 address this, but I deeply resent Mr. Maheu and his
20 attorney coming in here and making allegations about a
21 complaint, a phantom complaint, and they know absolutely
22 nothing about it. I think it's terrible behavior on
23 behalf of the president of the Nevada Society of
24 Professional Investigators. And I think it's
25 self-serving, because Mr. Maheu does not want me

1 competing against him. We were former business
2 partners.

3 Thank you.

4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you. I don't
5 know what to say about that. Okay.

6 MS. FINE: Thank you.

7 MR. KENERSON: Thank you.

8 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Moving
9 right along.

10 MR. INGRAM: Six.

11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Number six, Robert
12 Kenerson?

13 (There was a brief discussion off the record.)

14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: How about number five,
15 Peter Maheu, qualifying agent for Global Intelligence,
16 requesting exemption?

17 Peter.

18 MR. MAHEU: The Board, Mr. Chairman, Peter
19 Maheu, president of Global Intelligence Network, the bad
20 guy in the room.

21 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman, which item
22 are we considering?

23 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I'm sorry?

24 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Number five on today's
25 agenda?

1 MR. INGRAM: Yes.

2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, on today's
3 agenda.

4 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Thank you.

5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Peter.

6 MR. MAHEU: We're asking an exemption to hire
7 Luke Gardner in our mystery shopping division. He's a
8 Category III police officer with the Nevada Department
9 of Corrections. He has no access to any law enforcement
10 information whatever. Category III law enforcement
11 officers do not have access to SCOPE or NCIC. He
12 presently has an exemption for HS Brands to do the same
13 job.

14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right.

15 MR. MAHEU: That's it.

16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Questions from the
17 Board?

18 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: This is the same individual
19 that we denied the exemption to -- or approved the
20 exemption for at the last meeting, for HS Brands, right?

21 MR. INGRAM: That is correct.

22 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Okay. I don't have any
23 other questions.

24 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Questions up north?

25 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I have no questions.

1 BOARD MEMBER COLBERT: No.

2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Jim, did
3 you have anything?

4 BOARD MEMBER COLBERT: No, sir.

5 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Which Jim, Jim one or Jim
6 two? This is Jim one. I'm Jim two. But I don't have
7 any questions. Mr. Maheu addressed the access to --

8 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: The elder, the elder
9 Jim.

10 BOARD MEMBER COLBERT: That's a coin toss.

11 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman, I'll go
12 ahead and take that, and because I'm probably the elder
13 guy. But Mr. Maheu addressed any questions I had
14 regarding to access to any of criminal history or any
15 records.

16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I can't hear him.

17 MR. INGRAM: He said Mr. Maheu answered his
18 question about access to records.

19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, okay. Great.
20 Then, hearing no further questions, I'll entertain a
21 motion.

22 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, I'd move that
23 pursuant to Mr. Maheu's request for an exemption of
24 Mr. Gardner, that the same be approved.

25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: I have a motion.

1 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'll second.

2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And a second. All in
3 favor, signify by saying "aye."

4 (Board members said "aye.")

5 Opposed?

6 MR. MAHEU: Thank you.

7 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: None.

8 MR. MAHEU: Thank you.

9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Uh-huh (affirmative).
10 Now we'll go to number six, Mr. Robert
11 Kenerson.

12 MR. KENERSON: Mr. Chairman, it's me again.

13 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, sir.

14 MR. KENERSON: Robert Kenerson is my son. I
15 haven't spoken to him.

16 (The Reporter indicated she's having difficulty
17 hearing Mr. Kenerson.)

18 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman?

19 BOARD MEMBER SPENCER: Yeah?

20 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Shannon can't hear this.

21 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Come forward, Chuck.

22 MR. KENERSON: Robert Kenerson is my son. I
23 expected him to be here. He told Mr. Ingram he would be
24 here. So I don't know what to say about why he isn't
25 here. But I did have some comments that I wanted to

1 present during the public comment section. So I don't
2 know if you could take them out of order. I don't know
3 if you could take them in his absence.

4 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We have one other one.
5 So we'll go ahead and take that and see if he arrives.
6 I don't know whether the comment or the comments can be
7 made in his absence or not.

8 MR. KENERSON: Yeah, I understand.

9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So why don't you go
10 ahead and have a seat.

11 And we'll take Mr. Darryl Davis.

12 Good morning, sir.

13 MR. DAVIS: Good morning, sir.

14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Who had this case?

15 MR. HARRIS: I did.

16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. Jason, can
17 you give us a little background on what happened here?

18 MR. HARRIS: Okay. What happened is, when he
19 had originally filled out his application, he listed
20 four arrests, 2013, 2005, 2002, 2001, and they were all
21 for -- two for possession, one for jaywalking, one for
22 trespassing.

23 Shelly Donald originally sent him an e-mail on
24 January 15th asking for him to fill out an arrest
25 history form. Which he did not. There was no e-mail or

1 correspondence back and forth. So she passed it off to
2 me.

3 And once we received his fingerprints, there
4 was a total of 21 arrests, ranging from the possessions.
5 There was seven misdemeanors. And he didn't list all
6 those. And that was -- the denial letter was sent to
7 him February 3rd. And we had phone conversations back
8 and forth prior to then.

9 We did not receive his arrest history form till
10 February twenty -- or February 6. And he listed 27
11 arrests. So he had submitted the arrest history form
12 after he had already received the denial letter and our
13 phone conversations back and forth.

14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Say that again.

15 MR. HARRIS: I sent him the denial letter on
16 February 3rd. We didn't receive his arrest history
17 information till February 6th.

18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh, okay.

19 MR. HARRIS: We had a number of phone
20 conversations back and forth, and I told him that he was
21 going to be denied based off of his fingerprints coming
22 back.

23 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Prior to that denial,
24 did he provide all the information?

25 MR. HARRIS: Not in written form.

1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. All right.

2 Questions from the Board?

3 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: And when he did provide
4 the written information, was it accurate?

5 MR. HARRIS: It was scattered. There was a lot
6 of them that didn't match. I'd also asked for court
7 documents on the dispositions on some of the
8 misdemeanors and some of the felony ones, which when we
9 received SCOPE back, there was no -- or received the
10 prints back, there was no dispos on those felonies. And
11 they were, the majority, for possessions.

12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Did you receive
13 some -- oh, okay. All right.

14 Are there further Board questions?

15 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: I do.

16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.

17 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Davis, how do you
18 respond?

19 MR. DAVIS: Good morning.

20 All this isn't that true. At the time I
21 applied for my license, I was -- I had an overwhelming
22 arrest record history for drug use. I'm some coming out
23 of drug addiction and have been clean for some time now,
24 three years, and therefore my response.

25 To say that I was trying to hide it is not

1 true. It was the fact that I knew that I had no
2 felonies, thank God. And I did list them according to
3 the fact of severity -- I had this for possession, I had
4 this for trespassing, this one -- to cover all those I
5 thought would cover the parameters of it. But when they
6 requested the full SCOPE, when they denied me and
7 requested the full SCOPE, I was quick to go get that.

8 I have tried to apply for one PILB before. But
9 I can't remember what it was that stopped me. But I did
10 the process again for a new set, went down and put it
11 into the -- their possession prior to the last Board
12 meeting. But the thing was, I was a day late and a
13 dollar short. The Board convened the -- they closed
14 their offices the day before. It got there late. But
15 as it is, they did request the full SCOPE. I got it,
16 transcribed it for them, and they have had knowledge of
17 my disclosure.

18 I did come from a rough background. But I do
19 have three years clean. I do not deny the things that
20 are listed there. I am fortunate that I have committed
21 no felonious crimes. And I do ask the Board's
22 indulgence on the fact that I am trying to get my life
23 back together.

24 I was not trying to be deceptive. And I think,
25 in our conversation, you may have noted that I did not

1 properly fill out the form, the paperwork. And for
2 that, I apologize.

3 But I'm here to answer any questions you may
4 have on my demeanor or the actions that I've taken.

5 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: What type of program are
6 you -- have you undergone to address your drug problem?

7 MR. DAVIS: I am currently a member of NA. I
8 have a sponsor. I have two years eight months 12 days
9 clean. We just completed a men's spiritual breakfast
10 for the program. I am on my fifth step for the second
11 time in two years. I went to Harris Springs Ranch,
12 which is a subsidiary of -- over on Fourth Street. I've
13 been to Harris Springs Ranch for eight months. I've
14 been in U.S. Vets for six months. I have been working
15 since December. In all that time, I have been going to
16 meetings, doing step work, seeking employment, pretty
17 much the same things everybody, every other normal
18 person would be doing.

19 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: So you are a military
20 veteran?

21 MR. DAVIS: I am, sir.

22 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Is the -- Mr. Davis's
23 impression that he thinks that we would agree that he
24 wasn't purposely trying to be deceitful, would that be
25 correct?

1 MR. HARRIS: I would say yes, because when I
2 called, he did remember quite a few of them. I'm taking
3 it on what he said prior to his results. When he first
4 applied, he was denied for -- I think, it was two years
5 ago, wasn't it?

6 MR. DAVIS: Yes.

7 MR. HARRIS: It was two years ago, and it was
8 basically the same thing.

9 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: What was the arrest in
10 2013, let me ask?

11 MR. HARRIS: It didn't have the prints on 2013.
12 The most recent one I had was 2012. From what he listed
13 for '13.

14 MR. DAVIS: Trespass.

15 If I may?

16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Please.

17 MR. DAVIS: If I recall, it was for
18 trespassing. You see, I woke up in a vacant field,
19 homes. And the officer came up and offered me the
20 option of taking the ticket for trespassing or going to
21 jail and getting some help. I chose to go along with
22 the suggestion and went to court for trespassing. They
23 weren't seeking time, so they could release me. As been
24 the habit, I went ahead and was released without getting
25 the -- put into a program for assistance. Went back to

1 my spot.

2 The following day, as fate would have it, the
3 officer who had arrested me, along with Social Services,
4 Catholic charity, U.S. Vets and a couple of other
5 places, and a few other officers, kicked my foot that
6 morning and said, "What are you doing here?" I rolled
7 over and saw the officer who had arrested me the
8 previous three, four, five days before. He says, "What
9 are you doing here?" I said, "Nothing." He said, "What
10 did they do?" I said, "Nothing." He says, "Man, I
11 swear to God, I was trying to help you." And I said, "I
12 understand that."

13 A person at U.S. Vets who had known me for some
14 time said, "Do you know that the jail's trying to help?"
15 I said, "Yeah." This is going to be your best new way.
16 And that began my recovery. From there, they sent me to
17 detox. From there, they sent me to U.S. Vets. And U.S.
18 Vets sent me to the mountain. From the mountain, I
19 returned to U.S. Vets.

20 But the thing is, that was the turning point
21 for me. That officer, Officer Washington -- I'll never
22 forget the man -- gave me the -- you know, arrested me.

23 So I believe that should be the last one on
24 that record, 2013.

25 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: Thank you. I have two

1 more questions.

2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. Please.

3 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: What exactly is he
4 applying for? I don't have that information here.

5 MR. HARRIS: Basically, he's applying for the
6 guard card, so he can do pretty much security anywhere.
7 He would have the opportunity to apply for the armed,
8 but that would be later on down the road. But I would
9 think the being denied charge, for that one --

10 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: So he's applying for a
11 card prior to an employment offer?

12 MR. HARRIS: Yes.

13 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: And then you mentioned no
14 dispositions on the felony arrests?

15 MR. HARRIS: Yes, on a few of them, when we get
16 the fingerprints back, it did show no dispo.

17 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: Okay.

18 MR. HARRIS: There's a few were shown as
19 reduced to a misdemeanor, but there's a couple that show
20 no disposition.

21 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: Just my opinion, I'd be
22 very hesitant, sir, to, without knowing the dispositions
23 on them.

24 MR. DAVIS: I can understand that. I'd ask
25 the -- just I don't know what the process would be to

1 get those.

2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Were they, were those
3 local arrests?

4 MR. HARRIS: They were all local, and the
5 majority of them were for possession.

6 BOARD MEMBER SPENCER: The felonies or?

7 MR. HARRIS: There's a few that were reduced to
8 the misdemeanors, and then a couple of the possession,
9 and there's no dispo on them.

10 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: So how many do we have
11 that we don't know the dispo on, on the felony level?

12 MR. HARRIS: About three or four. And those
13 are from 2005, 2003.

14 MR. DAVIS: It was made -- I was made aware of
15 the fact that the disposition of those things was
16 requested. And I went to the office, and they said they
17 would take -- and then she stopped; she goes, "I'm not
18 exactly sure who you would write."

19 I'm sure the office would have something, but
20 I'm not sure what the process is to get those
21 dispositions that I do, that I have inquired about.

22 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman?
23 Mr. Chairman, may I ask?

24 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Please.

25 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Number one, you said he

1 had 21 arrests. Of those, how many, how many do you
2 have showing convictions? And none of those that you
3 reflected reflect a conviction of a felony, correct?

4 MR. HARRIS: Correct. Five of them.

5 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Five of them.

6 MR. HARRIS: Reduced to a misdemeanor.

7 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And the most recent was
8 2013, correct, the most recent?

9 MR. HARRIS: He shows 2013. But on the
10 fingerprints, when they came back, it was 2012.

11 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And none of those
12 convictions are for domestic violence, correct?

13 MR. HARRIS: Correct.

14 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And then, Mr. Davis?

15 MR. DAVIS: Sir?

16 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Have you done any time --
17 how much, how much time have you done in the county
18 jail? And was any of that for -- have you ever had to
19 serve probation?

20 MR. DAVIS: No, sir.

21 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: You've never had
22 probation?

23 MR. DAVIS: No, sir.

24 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: And have you spent six
25 months, a year in the county jail?

1 MR. DAVIS: I spent five months four -- five
2 months four days.

3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Five months four days.

4 MR. DAVIS: That was on a nine-month sentence.

5 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. On a nine-month --

6 MR. DAVIS: It was on a nine-month sentence.

7 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. And what was that
8 conviction for?

9 MR. DAVIS: Uh. Conspiracy, I believe.

10 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I'm sorry. I didn't
11 understand.

12 MR. DAVIS: Conspiracy of sales.

13 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. And how long ago
14 was that?

15 MR. DAVIS: Oh, I believe, that may have been
16 '06, '07.

17 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Okay. Thank you.

18 Mr. Chair, those are the only questions I have.
19 Thank you.

20 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: What's the Board's
21 pleasure?

22 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chair, I'll venture a
23 motion.

24 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We need to see if we
25 have any public comment first, though. Any here? Any

1 up there?

2 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: No.

3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Seeing none, go ahead.

4 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: All right. Well, this is
5 going to probably die, but I'll make, I'll venture a
6 motion anyway.

7 I think, our investigators did the correct
8 thing in denying this due to the failure to disclose.
9 But I would move that Darryl Davis be granted his
10 registration.

11 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Second.

12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: We have a motion and a
13 second. All in favor, signify by saying "aye."

14 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Discussion on the motion?

15 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Discussion on the
16 motion.

17 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: You know, one of our
18 mantras has been time and distance, if someone has some
19 problems and got denied, if they correct their behavior
20 and have a consistent period of time where they -- where
21 their behavior change has kind of been still. It
22 appears to me that he's gone to the effort to do that.
23 He has not had anything happen since 2013.

24 And so my sense is, if he falls back, then we'd
25 have an issue, but that we -- that that's the reason for

1 my motion.

2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.

3 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, for
4 discussion, the reason that I seconded the motion is I
5 believe that in this appeal setting, that the onus is
6 not only on the appellant, but it's also on us. If
7 we're going to rely upon a government record, we have to
8 be bound by the record. And since there's no record
9 that a felony conviction exists, we have to, I believe,
10 to be predisposed to the fact that one does not exist,
11 until such time as we have some evidence that it does.

12 So without that, that evidence, and believing
13 that an individual who has convinced me that he needs
14 another look and another chance, that this Board hasn't
15 historically given very often in some other
16 circumstances, and without the support of staff, who
17 indicates that they have some form of belief in the
18 representations that you simply didn't understand the
19 thoroughness in which the application process should
20 have been held, albeit, this is your second time at bat.

21 So, if we were on a teeter-totter, my one foot
22 would barely be supporting this motion.

23 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: And you realize that
24 if this motion were to pass, and you were given that
25 chance, that if you would ever fail again, that the

1 likelihood of getting it again would be short?

2 MR. DAVIS: I do.

3 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Is there any
4 more discussion on the motion?

5 All right. All in favor, signify by saying
6 "aye."

7 (Board members said "aye.")

8 Opposed?

9 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: Aye.

10 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Are you opposed?

11 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: Yes, sir.

12 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Good luck.

13 MR. DAVIS: Thank you.

14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Did you get ahold of
15 him, Chuck?

16 MR. KENERSON: No.

17 (The Reporter indicated she's having difficulty
18 hearing Mr. Kenerson.)

19 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman, Shannon
20 can't do her thing if he doesn't come and speak to the
21 microphone.

22 MR. INGRAM: Go ahead and come forward, Chuck.

23 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Sorry about that.

24 MR. KENERSON: That's all right.

25 I did call and text him. I got no response to

1 either. So I don't know if he'll walk in the door any
2 minute or if he's going to be a no-show. But I would
3 still appreciate an opportunity to address his appeal
4 and the circumstances before the Board.

5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Well, the short, short
6 answer to that is it's not going to happen, the appeal,
7 unless he shows up. I don't mean that in a smart way.

8 I don't have a problem with that. Does the
9 Board have any objection to Mr. Kenerson inputting
10 concerning his son?

11 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: He can always speak under
12 public comment.

13 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. All right.

14 MR. INGRAM: Mr. Chairman, for the record, I
15 have a written confirmation here from Robert Kenerson.
16 It says "Mr. Ingram, I've received your e-mail with the
17 following attachments and confirming that I will be in
18 attendance for the June 11th hearing at 9:00 a.m. at the
19 Grant Sawyer Building at 555 East Washington. Best
20 regards. Robert Kenerson."

21 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay.

22 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: And the other items on the
23 agenda, they're taken off?

24 MR. INGRAM: I sent that updated agenda to the
25 Board on 5-28. All others will be --

1 MR. KENERSON: Oh. So this would be the last
2 item?

3 BOARD MEMBER COLBERT: Yes.

4 MR. INGRAM: Yeah.

5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah. Under the
6 public comment, you can make any kind of statement you
7 want to. I just wish he was here. It would make it a
8 whole lot more to the point.

9 MR. KENERSON: It certainly would.

10 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: But if you'd like to,
11 please go ahead.

12 MR. KENERSON: Thank you.

13 You know, Mr. Nadeau just recently said
14 something about time and distance. We're talking here
15 about a 19-year-old case and a 15-year-old case, two
16 misdemeanor arrests for possession of firearms. Not
17 brandishing of firearms. Possession of firearms. They
18 were legally purchased in California, legally registered
19 in California. He did not have the concealed weapons
20 permit.

21 So after these cases dogging him for many
22 years, he decided to get them dismissed. So he went
23 through the petition process pursuant to 1203.4 of the
24 California Penal Code.

25 And it's important that you understand the

1 process. You fill out information. You send it to the
2 judge in the county in which the arrest took place. You
3 send it to the district attorney. They vet it. They
4 make sure all the requirements of probation, sentencing,
5 fines, everything hasn't been missed. And if that is
6 the case, then what happens is the judge sets aside the
7 conviction, he withdraws Mr. Kenerson's guilty plea, he
8 inserts on Mr. Kenerson's behalf a plea of not guilty,
9 and he dismisses the charges.

10 Now, I'm not sure why that's a difficult
11 concept to understand, except if you're an attorney.

12 I think -- and, also, I want to add dismissed
13 by the Superior Court judge in the interest of justice.

14 You know, when researching this, you go online
15 and you Google some of these things, like 1203.4 and
16 expungement and dismissal and stuff like that, and what
17 you come up with are a bunch of lawyer websites, who are
18 experts in the field, and they're trying to get your
19 business and stuff like that, but. And, I guess, if
20 it's on the website, it must be true.

21 So if I may, I'd like to read some of these.

22 California Penal Code 1203.4 permits most
23 people convicted in California to have their convictions
24 dismissed long after their conviction of guilty plea.
25 Although commonly referred to as expungement, 1203

1 dismissal is actually more powerful. Rather than having
2 your prior conviction erased, the petition asks the
3 court to permit you to withdraw your guilty plea, vacate
4 your conviction, and replace it with a dismissal of
5 charges, a dismissal of charges in the interest of
6 justice.

7 So what does this accomplish? A dismissal
8 under this statute vacates your conviction, replaces it
9 with a dismissal. Thus, your criminal record will show
10 the charge was dismissed.

11 What it does not do, and I -- and this would --
12 with the advice from the Attorney General's Office,
13 we're not in dispute. It allows you to truthfully
14 answer no when asked about a criminal record, but you
15 still must reveal the arrests when applying for a
16 license, you know, before a regulatory agency. Which
17 Mr. Kenerson did.

18 And a conviction also, although dismissed, can
19 be used against you as a prior similar act, when it
20 comes to sentencing, if Mr. Kenerson has a subsequent
21 arrest for anything, not just a weapons violation.

22 But when the court grants the petition, the
23 judge withdraws your guilty plea, enters a not guilty
24 plea. Then the court sets aside and dismisses your
25 conviction. From that point forward, you're no longer

1 considered conviction, convicted of this offense. The
2 record will be changed to show a dismissal rather than a
3 conviction.

4 And his California arrest rap sheet, or
5 whatever we call them, clearly says conviction set aside
6 and case dismissed. That's what his criminal record
7 says. So.

8 So Ms. --

9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Just one second. Do
10 we have that information?

11 MR. YARBOROUGH: I've been studying the
12 California Penal Code 1203.4 for about four years.
13 Kevin and I have been working on it. And we asked
14 Colleen Platt for a final legal opinion as our deputy
15 attorney general. She did the legal research, case law
16 in California.

17 And the last paragraph of her response to him
18 is: Pursuant to Section 1203.4, the applicant is
19 required to check the box yes regarding conviction.
20 However, even though investigators at the PILB reviewing
21 such an application would not be able to discover the
22 conviction -- sometimes we do anything. The Board is
23 prohibited from issuing a license to the person if the
24 conviction is a felony or for any crime involving
25 weapons. I hope this clarifies the situation.

1 MR. KENERSON: I would suggest that that is
2 Mrs. Platt's opinion, and it does not agree with what
3 the Superior Court judge is saying the case law is.

4 If I may add one thing, one of the cases that
5 she cites in there is called The People vs. Frawley,
6 F-R-A-W-L-E-Y. And I read Frawley several times. It's
7 way over my head. I couldn't understand all that. But
8 like any good college student, I went right to the crib
9 notes at the bottom of the -- at the bottom of the
10 hearing, or the decision.

11 And I want to point out a couple of things
12 there. We decline to characterize -- this is the court,
13 saying: We decline to characterize an order under
14 1203.4 as expunging a prior record, but instead refer to
15 it as dismissing the conviction. In any case where a
16 defendant has fulfilled the conditions of probation, in
17 any other case of which the court in its discretion and
18 in the interest of justice determined that a defendant
19 shall be granted relief under this section, the
20 defendant shall be permitted by the court to withdraw
21 his guilty plea, enter a plea of not guilty, and the
22 court shall set aside the verdict.

23 So that's all I can say about Frawley. At the
24 end, it seems to support some of these items I just told
25 you, not what Mrs. Platt and Mr. Yarborough say.

1 But just a couple more final things. We're
2 talking about 19-year-old cases and 17-year-old --
3 15-year-old cases. And I want you to know that 17
4 states in this country do not even require criminal
5 records to be put on -- to be asked for in their
6 employment application, 17 states.

7 We all know that public records and credit
8 reporting agencies purge their files after seven years.
9 We all know that job applications now very seldom do not
10 ask for misdemeanor cases. And we know that if they
11 asked for felonies, they're only felonies within the
12 last seven years.

13 And starting today, as you all heard on the
14 news, Metro doesn't require federal registration.

15 So, again, the only thing, the only other thing
16 I want to add is, in case you guys are not aware of it,
17 in 2010, Mr. Kenerson was granted a work permit by this
18 very Board. He submitted the same application. He
19 disclosed the same arrests. And for some reason, the
20 Board investigator, the day -- attorney from the
21 Attorney General and the Executive Director all
22 collectively signed off on this thing. So what has
23 changed in five years? The staff. Personnel.

24 Mr. Kenerson's been working in the industry
25 successfully for five years. He doesn't need, he

1 doesn't need -- the people of the state of Nevada don't
2 need protection from Mr. Kenerson.

3 So the only thing I would say, I don't know how
4 they can give it and take it away. At the very least,
5 and I'll read the definition of grandfathered: A
6 grandfathered policy is a program in which an old rule
7 continues to apply to some existing situations, while a
8 new rule will apply to future cases.

9 And that's what I think the remedy is here. I
10 think Mr. Kenerson should be given his work permit back.

11 Thank you very much.

12 MR. YARBOROUGH: May I respond?

13 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, please do. Hold
14 on.

15 MS. PALMER: That was a public comment item
16 only. This item, he was a no-show. And so this is just
17 strictly public comment.

18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Right.

19 MS. PALMER: I mean, absolutely, you can hear
20 public comment from your staff. But this is not for the
21 Board's consideration.

22 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Right.

23 MS. PALMER: That decision was made when he
24 didn't show up for the Board.

25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Exactly.

1 MR. YARBOROUGH: Robert Kenerson --

2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Wait. Just one
3 second.

4 Does that mean that we couldn't, if we desire
5 to, postpone this for another meeting, to another
6 meeting? Maybe not, huh?

7 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: Mr. Chair?

8 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes?

9 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: I sat on the POST
10 commission for 10 years. Public comments are public
11 comments. I respect the staff, but we're going to get
12 into a debate. Just trust me, based on your son not
13 being here, there's a lot of things I would want to say,
14 too. But I heard it.

15 MS. PALMER: There is another public comment.

16 MR. INGRAM: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, Kevin Ingram,
17 for the record, from the PILB.

18 Yesterday I was approached by an individual in
19 the audience who wished to comment on -- during public
20 comment on this. By public comment being taken, I'm
21 forced to bring this forward. That he was not going to
22 be present today, he asked if he could put it in writing
23 and get it to me for me to read it into the record
24 today.

25 So I'd like to do that at this time, if I may.

1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: It pertains to this
2 issue?

3 MR. INGRAM: It pertains to this issue.

4 This is a notice or application from Michael
5 Spriggs, Spriggs Incorporated. And it said:

6 Mr. Chairman, Board members, Board counsel,
7 Board investigators and staff, good morning. My
8 name is Michael S. Spriggs, Nevada licensee number
9 1052-1052A. I am the president and CEO of Spriggs
10 Incorporated and the vice president of the Nevada
11 Society of Private Investigators. I am writing this
12 letter to the Board to be read during public
13 comments, of the public comments opportunity, in
14 regards to the denial of Mr. Robert Kenerson's
15 registration.

16 I am opposed to the renewal of Mr. Robert
17 Kenerson's registration due to the following
18 circumstances. Mr. Kenerson was employed by my firm
19 on two separate occasions, once in early 2013, from
20 January till late February, and again in the first
21 week and a half of June 2013.

22 On the first occasion in February, Mr. Kenerson
23 was caught smoking in the rest room of the client
24 where he was working the graveyard shift.

25 Mr. Kenerson was thought to be smoking marijuana by

1 the client, and so he was asked to take a urine
2 test, of which he freely agreed to. The test proved
3 negative for marijuana, and Mr. Kenerson stated he
4 had a cigarette in the rest room and that was all.

5 At this point, the client requested that he be
6 removed from the post and did not want him back.
7 Mr. Kenerson was then taken off the schedule and not
8 used again until his father asked that we please put
9 him back to work again.

10 You see, that is how Mr. Kenerson became an
11 employee to begin with. I met his father in the
12 offices of Global Intelligence. I was introduced by
13 Peter Maheu. Mr. Kenerson senior told me that he
14 was a retired DEA agent, and he asked about
15 employment for his son in a security related field
16 and could I possibly put him to work.

17 Well, after the first incident with the
18 smoking, Mr. Robert Kenerson assured my general
19 manager, Mr. Michael Chin, that he would be a model
20 employee, and he was put back on the schedule. His
21 next assignment was to work a two-man intel during
22 the Couture Jewelry Show at the Wynn Hotel and
23 Casino.

24 Excuse me for a minute (got a drink of water).
25 During his assignment to the graveyard shift,

1 he took it upon himself to leave his post inside a
2 private suite, go out onto the patio overlooking the
3 pool, and go to sleep on the couch, abandoning his
4 post and allowing someone to walk away with product.
5 You see, the room was divided into two sections, and
6 there was one guard for each section.

7 Well, next morning, prior to the shift ending,
8 the client came in and realized that something was
9 missing, meaning high value jewelry. At this point,
10 I was called, along the Las Vegas Metropolitan
11 Police Department. When a detective arrived, he
12 asked, he interviewed both my employees and did so.

13 I spoke to the detective myself and was told
14 that Mr. Robert Kenerson had told him that he didn't
15 know what had happened to the product as he was
16 asleep on the couch and wasn't for sure, and wasn't
17 sure for how long.

18 I then spoke to Mr. Robert Kenerson, and he
19 told me that same story and stated he was very
20 sorry. At this time, he was relieved of his
21 employment with Spriggs Incorporated for violating
22 numerous Spriggs Incorporated policy and procedures
23 that he had signed with.

24 You see, Spriggs Incorporated has been in
25 existence for 25 years as myself, the president and

1 CEO. I have never advertised and do not have a
2 website. I only do referral businesses, and my name
3 is very important to me and my clients. I employ
4 about 125 people throughout the U.S. and Canada and
5 believe in honor and integrity and honesty for
6 myself and my employees. This is how my business
7 has grown. I can ill-afford someone who takes a
8 paycheck and cannot and will not fulfill the
9 obligation that he agreed to do for that
10 compensation.

11 It is for the above-stated reasons that I
12 believe that Mr. Robert Kenerson's work registration
13 be denied if upheld by the Board. This does not
14 come easy to say, because I respect his father for
15 all his service to both the U.S. and the State of
16 Nevada with the DEA. But the people of the state of
17 Nevada have to be assured that they too are
18 protected by the employees that we as licensees
19 hire.

20 I again want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for
21 your 22 years of service and guidance for all of us
22 licensees, and the rest of the Board and staff.

23 Signed, respectfully, Mr. Michael S. Spriggs,
24 Spriggs Incorporated, president and CEO, license
25 number 1052-1052A.

1 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Well,
2 unfortunately, all of this is going to get us nowhere
3 without him being here.

4 MR. YARBOROUGH: Mr. Chairman, could I put on
5 the record a little bit?

6 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Certainly.

7 MR. YARBOROUGH: In 2007, Robert Kenerson first
8 applied for a guard card with the Las Vegas Metropolitan
9 Police Department to work at Global Intelligence. His
10 work card application with Metro was denied due to the
11 weapons violations. That's 2007.

12 In 2009, he reapplied, and he disclosed those
13 two violations. And Tammy Whatley processed his guard
14 card application. And there was some correspondence
15 back and forth where he said he was in the process of
16 getting those dismissed. She issued his guard card even
17 though the law had changed in 648.1493 to prohibit the
18 issuing of a guard card to anybody convicted of a
19 weapons violation.

20 When I saw that the card was coming up for
21 renewal, I notified him in March, on March the 3rd, by
22 an e-mail, that I thought we were going to have problems
23 with renewing his work card because of these two
24 carrying concealed weapons violations.

25 Which although they were dismissed, the

1 dismissal itself orders him to disclose that information
2 on any application for licensure in any state, and it
3 doesn't have a time limit on it.

4 MR. KENERSON: Which he did.

5 MR. YARBOROUGH: Which he did. He did disclose
6 those two. But they still exist, and they're still
7 referenced. And so 648.1493 does not have any term
8 limit to it. It just says if it exists and if it's
9 after their 18th birthday, it's 15 years old, we have to
10 go by 648 the way it's written down.

11 And, yes, I agree with Chuck that a lot of
12 states don't allow criminal checks. They don't go back
13 past seven years. And even in this state, with
14 misdemeanors, the courts have been ordered to expunge
15 all their records before 10 years.

16 So if we were to go back and try to get court
17 documents on these two convictions, we couldn't do it.
18 But, nonetheless, he disclosed those. We have to
19 have -- according to 648.1493, the way it's written out,
20 we don't have wiggle room, and we -- it doesn't expire.

21 And that's why I denied his application for
22 renewal.

23 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Okay. Yes, this is --
24 any additional public comment?

25 MS. PALMER: If there's somebody from the

1 Board --

2 (The Reporter indicated she's having difficulty
3 hearing Ms. Palmer.)

4 MS. PALMER: I apologize.

5 THE REPORTER: Thank you.

6 MS. PALMER: All I was saying is that we're on
7 item number eight. We're not on item number seven.

8 This is the public comment period. So the Board should
9 simply see if there's any additional public comment.

10 MR. KENERSON: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, I realize
11 your hands are tied.

12 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman? My
13 apologies.

14 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yeah?

15 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: I think we've belabored
16 this. We don't -- we can't discuss this because without
17 the appellant being here.

18 MR. KENERSON: And --

19 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: We have other business,
20 we have other business to conduct. I apologize,
21 Mr. Kenerson, but we can't discuss this. This is --

22 MR. KENERSON: I understand, sir, that your
23 hands are tied. You can't do anything about it. Thank
24 you very much for listening to me.

25 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you. All right.

1 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Mr. Chairman?

2 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Yes, sir?

3 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: We're on item eight,
4 correct?

5 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: That's correct.

6 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Could we, on a future
7 agenda item, could we have a presentation by staff on
8 the implications and impacts of the recent legislation
9 dealing with Assembly Bill 173, as far as how it changes
10 the statute and, also, the implementation date?

11 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Certainly.

12 All right. Any other Board members comments or
13 requests?

14 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: We need to take action on
15 number six.

16 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Oh. You're right.

17 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: If you will accept a
18 motion.

19 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right.

20 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: Mr. Chairman, I'd move that
21 Robert Kenerson, the denial of his work card application
22 be upheld.

23 BOARD MEMBER FLYNN: I'd second.

24 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Motion and second.

25 All in favor, signify by saying "aye."

1 (Board members said "aye.")

2 Opposed?

3 It passes. All right.

4 All right. I see we have a new member of the
5 public that walked in the door not very long ago.

6 Do you have any comments that you'd like to
7 make?

8 UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: No.

9 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All right. I'd take a
10 motion for adjournment.

11 BOARD MEMBER ZANE: So moved.

12 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Second.

13 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: All in favor?

14 (Board members said "aye.")

15 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: This is my last time.

16 Aye.

17 BOARD MEMBER NADEAU: Thank you.

18 BOARD CHAIRMAN SPENCER: Thank you.

19 * * * * *

20 (The meeting adjourned at 9:56 a.m.)

21 -oOo-

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SHANNON L. TAYLOR, a Nevada Certified Court Reporter, Nevada CCR #322, do hereby certify:

That I was present at the Office of the Attorney General, 100 North Carson Street, Mock Court Room, Carson City, Nevada, on Thursday, June 11, 2015, at 9:00 a.m., and commencing at 9:02 a.m. took stenotype notes of a meeting of the State of Nevada Private Investigator's Licensing Board;

That I thereafter transcribed the aforementioned stenotype notes into typewriting as herein appears, and that the within transcript, consisting of pages 1 through 47, is a full, true, and correct transcription of said stenotype notes of said meeting;

I further certify that I am not an attorney or counsel for any of the parties, not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel connected with the actions, nor financially interested in the actions.

DATED: At Carson City, Nevada, this 15th day of June, 2015.

SHANNON L. TAYLOR
Nevada CCR #322, RMR